Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05858 281736Z

47

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07

IO-10 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01

SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 SSO-00

ACDE-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 /083 W ----- 033364

O R 281615Z OCT 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 4285
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

SECRETUSNATO 5858

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR

SUBJ: MBFR: OPTION III: AIR MANPOWER: SPC MEETING OCTOBER 27

REF: A. USNATO 5618 DTG 161034Z OCT 75; B. STATE 254119 DTG 242344Z OCT 75

1. AT SPC MEETING OCTOBER 27, UK REP (BAILES) SAID THAT UK
COULD NOW ACCEPT THE FRG FINAL TIC (FIRST "OR" VERSION) OF
PARA 1 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE, RE CEILING ON GROUND FORCE
MANPOWER WHICH MIGHT BE SET AT APPROXIMATELY 700,000, WITHIN
A COMBINED COMMON CEILING WHICH MIGHT BE SET AT APPROXIMATELY
900,000 (TEXT IN REF A). UK MIGHT HAVE MORE TO SAY ABOUT AIR
MANPOWER IN PARA 16 OF THE POSITION PAPER. (COMMENT: UK
ACCEPTANCE OF THE FRG FINAL TIC OF PARA 1 MEANS THAT THE ONLY
HOLD-OUT ON AIR MANPOWER ASPECTS OF THIS TIC IS BELGIUM,
WHICH, NEVERTHELESS, HAS BEEN WORKING WITH IT, AND SUPPORTING
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05858 281736Z

THE GENERAL POSITION IT REPRESENTS, WITHOUT FORMALLY WITH-DRAWING THE ALTERNATIVE BELGIAN PROPOSAL OF EXTENSION OF THE NO-INCREASE COMMITMENT ON AIR MANPOWER.)

- 2. US REP (MOORE), PER PARA 1, REF B, SAID THAT THE US WAS NOT ABLE TO ACCEPT THE BELGIAN SENTENCE FOR THIS TIC WHICH READ "WHEN APPROPRIATE, THE AHG SHOULD TELL THE OTHER SIDE THAT THE FOREGOING IS PROPOSED HAVING IN MIND THAT THERE IS APPROXIMATE PARITY IN AIR FORCE MANPOWER BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES". HE NOTED THAT BELGIAN REP AT PREVIOUS MEETING HAD WANTED TO AVOID STATING PRE-CONDITIONS, BUT THIS BELGIAN SENTENCE WAS IN EFFECT A PRE-CONDITION. IT WOULD IMPLY A POSITIONON REDEFINITION OF FORCES WHICH THE ALLIES HAVE AGREED THEY ARE UNABLE TO TAKE IN THE ABSENCE OF A DATA EXCHANGE. IT WOULD RAISE QUESTIONS BY THE EAST RE ALLIED POSITION ON REDEFINITION OF FORCES, AND EXACT MEANING OF "APPROXIMATE PARITY" OF AIR MANPOWER WHICH ALLIES ARE NOT PREPARED TO ANSWER.
- 3. NETHERLANDS REP (MEESMAN) SAID THE HAGUE AGREED FULLY WITH THE VIEWS STATED BY US REP.
- 4. BELGIAN REP (BURNY) INQUIRED ABOUT PREVIOUS US WILLINGNESS TO INCLUDE A STATEMENT IN THE POSITION PAPER ABOUT APPROXIMATE PARITY OF AIR MANPOWER. US REP SAID THAT THIS WOULD BE ALONG THE LINES OF REITERATING IN THE POSITION PAPER THAT ALLIED DATA SHOWS THAT THE AGGREGATE AIR FORCE MANPOWER TOTALS OF THE TWO SIDES ARE VERY CLOSE THOUGH WITH A SLIGHT WARSAW PACT SUPERIORITY. HOWEVER, AS US REP HAD PREVIOUSLY NOTED, THE AHG SHOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO MAKE THIS STATMENT IN THE CONTEXT OF INCLUSION OF AIR MANPOWER IN THE COMMON CEILING. BELGIAN REP SAID IT WOULD BE USEFUL FOR US TO SUGGEST LANGUAGE.
- 5. THERE WAS NO FURTHER FRG COMMENT ON THE QUESTION OF A FLEXIBILITY MARGIN ON AIR MANPOWER.
- 6. COMMENT: IT MAY HELP TOWARD ELIMINATION OF THE PROPOSED BELGIAN SENTENCE ON APPROXIMATE PARITY OF AIR MANPOWER FOR THE US TO PROPOSE A SENTENCE FOR PARA 16 OF THE DRAFT POSITION PAPER. OUR SUGGESTION WOULD BE THE FOLLOWING: "THE AHG MAY CONTINUE TO POINT OUT, AS APPROPRIATE, THAT THE AGGREGATE AIR FORCE MANPOWER TOTALS OF THE TWO SIDES ARE VERY CLOSE, THOUGH SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05858 281736Z

WITH A SLIGHT WARSAW PACT SUPERIORITY."

7. ACTION REQUESTED: IN TIME FOR SPC MEETING THURSDAY, OCTOBER 30: GUIDANCE ON A SENTENCE TO BE INCLUDED IN PARA 16 OF THE POSITION PAPER RE APPROXIMATE PARITY OF AIR MANPOWER, IN LIGHT OF COMMENT IN PARA 6 ABOVE. BRUCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 28 OCT 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: greeneet
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO05858

Document Number: 1975NATO05858
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197510101/abbrzmva.tel Line Count: 104 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: A. USÑATO 5618 DTG 161034Z OCT 75; B. STATE 254119 DTG 242344Z OCT 75

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: greeneet

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 16 APR 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <16 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <17 SEP 2003 by greeneet>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: OPTION III: AIR MANPOWER: SPC MEETING OCTOBER 27

TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR To: STATE

SECDEF INFO MBFR VIENNA

BONN LONDON USNMR SHAPE **USCINCEUR**

Type: TE Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006